## SUMMARY REPORT 283 WEST CARDINAL LANE (FORMERLY 1346 WEST CARDINAL LANE) LAUREL BAY MILITARY HOUSING AREA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT BEAUFORT, SC

Revision: 0 Prepared for:

Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic 9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

and



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic 9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

**JUNE 2021** 

## SUMMARY REPORT 283 WEST CARDINAL LANE (FORMERLY 1346 WEST CARDINAL LANE) LAUREL BAY MILITARY HOUSING AREA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT BEAUFORT, SC

Revision: 0 Prepared for:

Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid- Atlantic 9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

and



**Naval Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic** 

9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

**Prepared by:** 



CDM - AECOM Multimedia Joint Venture 10560 Arrowhead Drive, Suite 500 Fairfax, Virginia 22030

Contract Number: N62470-14-D-9016 CTO WE52 JUNE 2021



Summary Report 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane) Laurel Bay Military Housing Area, Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort June 2021

## Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1 1.2	BACKGROUND INFORMATION UST REMOVAL AND ASSESSMENT PROCESS	.1 .2
2.0	SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS	3
2.1	UST REMOVAL AND SOIL SAMPLING	.3
2.2	SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS	.4
2.3	GROUNDWATER SAMPLING	.4
2.4	GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS	.5
3.0	PROPERTY STATUS	. 5
4.0	REFERENCES	. 5

#### Tables

Table 1	Laboratory Analytical Results - Soil
Table 2	Laboratory Analytical Results - Groundwater

#### Appendices

- Appendix A Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH
- Appendix B UST Assessment Report
- Appendix C Laboratory Analytical Report Groundwater
- Appendix D Regulatory Correspondence



## **List of Acronyms**

bgs	below ground surface
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
СТО	Contract Task Order
COPC	constituents of potential concern
ft	feet
IDIQ	Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity
IGWA	Initial Groundwater Assessment
JV	Joint Venture
LBMH	Laurel Bay Military Housing
MCAS	Marine Corps Air Station
NAVFAC Mid-Lant	Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic
NFA	No Further Action
PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon
QAPP	Quality Assurance Program Plan
RBSL	risk-based screening level
SCDHEC	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
Site	LBMH area at MCAS Beaufort, South Carolina
UST	underground storage tank
VISL	vapor intrusion screening level



#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The CDM - AECOM Multimedia Joint Venture (JV) was contracted by the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic (NAVFAC Mid-Lant) to provide reporting services for the heating oil underground storage tanks (USTs) located in Laurel Bay Military Housing (LBMH) area at the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Beaufort, South Carolina (Site). This work has been awarded under Contract Task Order (CTO) WE52 of the Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Multimedia Environmental Compliance Contract (Contract No. N62470-14-D-9016).

As of January 2014, the LBMH addresses were re-numbered to comply with the E-911 emergency response addressing system; however, in order to remain consistent with historical sampling and reporting for LBMH area, the residences will continue to be referenced with their original address numbers in sample nomenclature and reporting documents.

This report summarizes the results the environmental investigation activities associated with the storage of home heating oil and the potential release of petroleum constituents at the referenced property. Based on the results of the investigation, a No Further Action (NFA) determination has been made by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) for 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane). This NFA determination indicates that there are no unacceptable risks to human health or the environment for the petroleum constituents associated with the home heating oil USTs. The following information is included in this report:

- Background information;
- Sampling activities and results; and
- A determination of the property status.

#### **1.1 Background Information**

The LBMH area is located approximately 3.5 miles west of MCAS Beaufort. The area is approximately 970 acres in size and serves as an enlisted and officer family housing area. The area is configured with single family and duplex residential structures, and includes recreation, open space, and community facilities. The community includes approximately 1,300 housing units, including legacy Capehart style homes and newer duplex style homes. The housing area



is bordered on the west by salt marshes and the Broad River, and to the north, east and south by uplands. Forested areas lie along the northern and northeastern borders.

Capehart style homes within the LBMH area were formerly heated using heating oil stored in USTs at each residence. There were 1,100 Capehart style housing units in the LBMH area. The newer duplex homes within the LBMH area never utilized heating oil tanks. Heating oil has not been used at Laurel Bay since the mid-1980s. As was the accepted practice at the time, USTs were drained, filled with dirt, capped, and left in place when they were removed from service. Residential USTs are not regulated in the State of South Carolina (i.e., there are no federal or state laws governing installation, management, or removal).

In 2007, MCAS Beaufort began a voluntary program to remove the unregulated, residential USTs and conduct sampling activities to determine if, and to what extent, petroleum constituents may have impacted the surrounding environment. MCAS Beaufort coordinated with SCDHEC to develop removal procedures that were consistent with procedural requirements for regulated USTs. All tank removal activities and follow-on actions are conducted in coordination with SCDHEC. To date, all known USTs have been removed from all residential properties within the LBMH area.

#### **1.2 UST Removal and Assessment Process**

During the UST removal process, a soil sample was collected from beneath the UST excavations (approximately 4 to 6 feet [ft] below ground surface [bgs]) and analyzed for a predetermined list of constituents of potential concern (COPCs) associated with the petroleum compounds found in home heating oil. These COPCs, derived from the *Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP) for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 3.1* (SCDHEC, 2016) and the *Underground Storage Tank Assessment Instructions for Permanent Closure and Change-In-Service,* (SCDHEC, 2018), are as follows:

- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX),
- naphthalene, and
- five select polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs): benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene and dibenz(a,h)anthracene.

Soil sample results were submitted by MCAS Beaufort to SCDHEC utilizing SCDHEC's UST Assessment Report form. In accordance with SCDHEC's *QAPP for the UST Management* 



*Division* (SCDHEC, 2016), the soil screening levels consists of SCDHEC risk-based screening levels (RBSLs). It should be noted that the RBSLs for select PAHs were revised in Revision 2.0 of the QAPP (SCDHEC, 2013) and were revised again in Revision 3.0 (SCDHEC, 2015). The screening levels used for evaluation at each site were those levels that were in effect at the time of reporting and review by SCDHEC.

The results of the soil sampling at each former UST location were used to determine if a potential for groundwater contamination exists (i.e., soil results greater than RBSLs) and subsequently to select properties for follow-up initial groundwater assessment (IGWA) sampling. The results of the IGWA sampling (if necessary) are used to determine the presence or absence of the aforementioned COPCs in groundwater and identify whether former UST locations will require additional delineation of COPCs in groundwater. In order to delineate the extent of impact to groundwater, permanent wells are installed and a sampling program is established for those former UST locations where IGWA sampling has indicated the presence of COPCs in excess of the SCDHEC RBSLs for groundwater. Groundwater analytical results are also compared to the site specific groundwater vapor intrusion screening levels (VISLs) to evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion and the necessity for an investigation associated with this media. A multi-media investigation selection process tree, applicable to the LBMH UST investigations, is presented as Appendix A.

#### 2.0 SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS

The following section presents the sampling activities and associated results for 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane). Details regarding the soil investigation at this site are provided in the *SCDHEC UST Assessment Report – 1346 Cardinal Lane* (MCAS Beaufort, 2015). The UST Assessment Report is provided in Appendix B. Details regarding the IGWA sampling activities at this site are provided in the *Initial Groundwater Investigation Report – February and March 2017* (Resolution Consultants, 2017). The laboratory report that includes the pertinent IGWA analytical results for this site is presented in Appendix C.

#### 2.1 UST Removal and Soil Sampling

On July 13, 2015, a single 280 gallon heating oil UST was removed from underneath the edge of the front concrete porch and the front landscaped bed area at 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane). The former UST location is indicated on Figures 1 and 2 of the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B). The UST was removed, cleaned, and shipped



offsite for recycling. There was no visual evidence (i.e., staining or sheen) of petroleum impact at the time of the UST removal. According to the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B), the depth to the base of the UST was 6'0 bgs and a single soil sample was collected from that depth. The sample was collected from the fill port side of the former UST to represent a worst case scenario.

Following UST removal, a soil sample was collected from the base of the excavation and shipped to an offsite laboratory for analysis of the petroleum COPCs. Sampling was performed in accordance with applicable South Carolina regulation R.61-92, Part 280 (SCDHEC, 2017) and assessment guidelines.

## 2.2 Soil Analytical Results

A summary of the laboratory analytical results and SCDHEC RBSLs is presented in Table 1. A copy of the laboratory analytical data report is included in the UST Assessment Report presented in Appendix B. The laboratory analytical data report includes the soil results for the additional PAHs that were analyzed, but do not have associated RBSLs.

The soil sample results were submitted by MCAS Beaufort to SCDHEC utilizing SCDHEC's UST Assessment Report form (Appendix B). The results of the soil sampling at the former UST location were used by MCAS Beaufort, in consultation with SCDHEC, to determine a path forward (i.e., additional sampling or NFA) for the property. The soil results collected from 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane) were greater than the SCDHEC RBSLs, which indicated further investigation was required. In a letter dated August 1, 2016, SCDHEC requested an IGWA for 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane) to determine if the groundwater was impacted by petroleum COPCs. SCDHEC's request letter is provided in Appendix D.

#### 2.3 Groundwater Sampling

On March 9, 2017, a temporary monitoring well was installed at 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane), in accordance with the South Carolina Well Standards and Regulations (R.61-71.H-I, updated June 24, 2016). In order to provide data that can be used to determine whether COPCs are migrating to underlying groundwater, the monitoring well was placed in the same general location as the former heating oil UST. The former UST location is indicated on Figures 1 and 2 of the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B). Further



details are provided in the *Initial Groundwater Investigation Report – February and March 2017* (Resolution Consultants, 2017).

The sampling strategy for this phase of the investigation required a one-time sampling event of the temporarily installed monitoring well. Following well installation and development, groundwater samples were collected using low-flow methods and shipped to an offsite laboratory for analysis of the petroleum COPCs. Upon completion of groundwater sampling, the temporary well was abandoned in accordance with the South Carolina Well Standards and Regulations R.61-71 (SCDHEC, 2016). Field forms are provided in the *Initial Groundwater Investigation Report – February and March 2017* (Resolution Consultants, 2017).

## 2.4 Groundwater Analytical Results

A summary of the laboratory analytical results and SCDHEC RBSLs is presented in Table 2. A copy of the laboratory analytical data report is included in Appendix C.

The groundwater results collected from 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane) were less than the SCDHEC RBSLs and the site specific groundwater VISLs (Table 2), which indicated that the groundwater was not impacted by COPCs associated with the former UST at concentrations that present a potential risk to human health and the environment.

#### 3.0 **PROPERTY STATUS**

Based on the analytical results for groundwater, SCDHEC made the determination that NFA was required for 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane). This NFA determination was obtained in a letter dated July 27, 2017. SCDHEC's NFA letter is provided in Appendix D.

#### 4.0 **REFERENCES**

- Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort, 2015. *South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) Underground Storage Tank Assessment Report – 1346 Cardinal Lane, Laurel Bay Military Housing Area*, November 2015.
- Resolution Consultants, 2017. Initial Groundwater Investigation Report February and March 2017 for Laurel Bay Military Housing Area, Multiple Properties, Laurel Bay Military Housing Area, Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort, Beaufort, South Carolina, June 2017.



- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2013. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 2.0*, April 2013.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2015. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 3.0*, May 2015.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2016. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 3.1*, February 2016.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2017. *R.61-92, Part 280, Underground Storage Tank Control Regulations,* March 2017.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2018. *Underground Storage Tank Assessment Instructions for Permanent Closure and Change-In-Service*, March 2018.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Water, 2016. *R.61-71, Well Standards*, June 2016.

Tables



# Table 1Laboratory Analytical Results - Soil283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane)Laurel Bay Military Housing AreaMarine Corps Air Station BeaufortBeaufort, South Carolina

Constituent	SCDHEC RBSLs <sup>(1)</sup>	Results Sample Collected 07/13/15				
Volatile Organic Compounds Analyzed	olatile Organic Compounds Analyzed by EPA Method 8260B (mg/kg)					
Benzene	0.003	ND				
Ethylbenzene	1.15	ND				
Naphthalene	0.036	ND				
Toluene	0.627	ND				
Xylenes, Total	13.01	ND				
Semivolatile Organic Compounds Ana	lyzed by EPA Method 8270D (mg/kg)					
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.66	1.35				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.66	0.944				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.66	0.427				
Chrysene	0.66	1.28				
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.66	ND				

Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> South Carolina Risk-Based Screening Levels from the Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 3.0 and 3.1 (SCDHEC, May 2015 and SCDHEC, February 2016) and the Underground Storage Tank Assessment Guidelines (SCDHEC, February 2006).

Bold font indicates the analyte was detected.

Bold font and shading indicates the concentration exceeds the SCDHEC RBSL.

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

ND - not detected at the reporting limit (or method detection limit if shown on the laboratory report). The soil laboratory report is provided in Appendix B.

RBSL - Risk-Based Screening Level

SCDHEC - South Carolina Department Of Health and Environmental Control

# Table 2 Laboratory Analytical Results - Groundwater 283 West Cardinal Lane (Formerly 1346 West Cardinal Lane) Laurel Bay Military Housing Area Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort Beaufort, South Carolina

Constituent	SCDHEC RBSLs <sup>(1)</sup>	Site-Specific Groundwater VISLs (µg/L) <sup>(2)</sup>	Results Sample Collected 03/09/17	
Volatile Organic Compounds Analyzed	d by EPA Method 8260B (µg	/L)		
Benzene	5	16.24	ND	
Ethylbenzene	700	45.95	ND	
Naphthalene	25	29.33	ND	
Toluene	1000	105,445	ND	
Xylenes, Total	10,000	2,133	ND	
Semivolatile Organic Compounds Ana	lyzed by EPA Method 8270	) (µg/L)		
Benzo(a)anthracene	10	NA	ND	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	10	NA	ND	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10	NA	ND	
Chrysene	10	NA	ND	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	10	NA	ND	

#### Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> South Carolina Risk-Based Screening Levels from the Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 3.1 (SCDHEC, February 2016).

<sup>(2)</sup> Site-specific groundwater VISLs were calculated using the EPA JE Model Spreadsheets (Version 3.1, February 2004) and conservative modeling inputs representative of a small single-story house with an 8 foot ceiling. Site-specific groundwater VISLs were developed based on a target risk level of 1x10<sup>-6</sup>, a target hazard quotient of 1 (per target organ), and a default residential exposure scenario, assuming exposure for 24 hours/day, 350 days/year, for 26 years. Modeling was performed for a range of depths to groundwater for application as appropriate in different areas of the Laurel Bay Military Housing Area. The most conservative levels are presented for comparison. Refer to Appendix H of the Uniform Federal Policy Sampling Analysis and Sampling Plan for Vapor Media, Revision 4 (Resolution Consultants, April 2017) for additional information.

Bold font indicates the analyte was detected.

Bold font and shading indicates the concentration exceeds the SCDHEC RBSL and/or the Site-Specific Groundwater VISL.

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

JE - Johnson & Ettinger

NA - Not Applicable

ND - not detected at the reporting limit (or method detection limit if shown on the laboratory report). The groundwater laboratory report is provided in Appendix C.

RBSL - Risk-Based Screening Level

SCDHEC - South Carolina Department Of Health and Environmental Control

µg/L - micrograms per liter

VISL - Vapor Intrusion Screening Level

Appendix A Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH





## **Appendix A - Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH**

Appendix B UST Assessment Report



Attachment 1

## South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) Underground Storage Tank (UST) Assessment Report

Date Received

State Use Only

Submit Completed Form To: UST Program SCDHEC 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Telephone (803) 896-7957

## I. OWNERSHIP OF UST (S)

MCAS Beaufort, C	commanding Officer Attn: NR	EAO (Craig Ehde)	
Owner Name (Corporati	on, Individual, Public Agency, Other)		
P.O. Box 55001			
Maning Address		Care a company of the second	
Beaufort,	South Carolina	29904-5001	
City	State	Zip Code	
843	228-7317	Craig Ehde	
Area Code	Telephone Number	Contact Person	

## II. SITE IDENTIFICATION AND LOCATION

Permit I.D. #	
Laurel Bay Milita	ry Housing Area, Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort, SC
Facility Name or Company	Site Identifier
1246 Cardinal Lar	e Laurel Bay Military Housing Area
Street Address or Stote Dos	d (as applicable)
Street Address of State Noz	a (as applicable)
Beaufort,	Beaufort
City	County

Attachment 2

## III. INSURANCE INFORMATION

#### Insurance Statement

The petroleum release reported to DHEC on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_at Permit ID Number \_\_\_\_\_\_may qualify to receive state monies to pay for appropriate site rehabilitation activities. Before participation is allowed in the State Clean-up fund, written confirmation of the existence or non-existence of an environmental insurance policy is required. This section must be completed.

Is there now, or has there ever been an insurance policy or other financial mechanism that covers this UST release? YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_ (check one)

If you answered YES to the above question, please complete the following information:

My policy provider is: \_\_\_\_\_\_ The policy deductible is: \_\_\_\_\_\_ The policy limit is: \_\_\_\_\_\_

If you have this type of insurance, please include a copy of the policy with this report.

## IV. REQUEST FOR SUPERB FUNDING

I DO / DO NOT wish to participate in the SUPERB Program. (Circle one.)

## V. CERTIFICATION (To be signed by the UST owner)

I certify that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this and all attached documents; and that based on my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining this information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.

Name (Type or print.)

Signature

To be completed by Notary Public:

Sworn before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_

(Name)

Notary Public for the state of \_\_\_\_\_\_. Please affix State seal if you are commissioned outside South Carolina

#### VI. UST INFORMATION

		Cardinal
Α.	Product(ex. Gas, Kerosene)	Heating oil
B.	Capacity(ex. 1k, 2k)	280 gal
C.	Age	Late 1950s
D.	Construction Material(ex. Steel, FRP)	Steel
F.	Month/Year of Last Use	Mid 80s
F.	Depth (ft.) To Base of Tank	6'
G.	Spill Prevention Equipment Y/N	No
H·	Overfill Prevention Equipment Y/N	No
T'	Method of Closure Removed/Filled	Removed
J	Date Tanks Removed/Filled	7/13/2015
K.	Visible Corrosion or Pitting Y/N	Yes
L.	Visible Holes Y/N	Yes

1346

M. Method of disposal for any USTs removed from the ground (attach disposal manifests) UST 1346Cardinal was removed from the ground and disposed

at a Subtitle "D" landfill. See Attachment "A".

- Method of disposal for any liquid petroleum, sludges, or wastewaters removed from the USTs (attach disposal manifests)
   UST 1346Cardinal was previously filled with sand by others.
- O. If any corrosion, pitting, or holes were observed, describe the location and extent for each UST Corrosion, pitting and holes were found throughout the tank.

## VII. PIPING INFORMATION

		1346 Cardinal	
		Steel & Copper	
А.	Construction Material(ex. Steel, FRP)		
B.	Distance from UST to Dispenser	N/A	
C.	Number of Dispensers	N/A	
D.	Type of System Pressure or Suction	Suction	
E.	Was Piping Removed from the Ground? Y/N	No	
F.	Visible Corrosion or Pitting Y/N	Yes	
G.	Visible Holes Y/N	No	
H.	Age	Late 1950s	
I.	If any corrosion, pitting, or holes were observed,	describe the location and extent for each	n piping run.

Corrosion and pitting were found on the surface of the steel vent pipe. Copper supply and return lines were sound.

## **VIII. BRIEF SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY**

The USTs at the residences are constructed of single wall steel and formerly contained fuel oil for heating. These USTs were installed in the late 1950s and last used in the mid 1980s.

## IX. SITE CONDITIONS

	Yes	No	Unk
A. Were any petroleum-stained or contaminated soils found in the UST excavation, soil borings, trenches, or monitoring wells?		Х	
If yes, indicate depth and location on the site map.			-
B. Were any petroleum odors detected in the excavation, soil borings, trenches, or monitoring wells?		Х	
If yes, indicate location on site map and describe the odor (strong, mild, etc.)			
C. Was water present in the UST excavation, soil borings, or trenches?		x	
If yes, how far below land surface (indicate location and depth)?		_	
D. Did contaminated soils remain stockpiled on site after closure?		x	
If yes, indicate the stockpile location on the site map.			
Name of DHEC representative authorizing soil removal:			
E. Was a petroleum sheen or free product detected on any excavation or boring waters?		x	
If yes, indicate location and thickness.			

## X. SAMPLE INFORMATION

## A. SCDHEC Lab Certification Number 84009

Β.

Sample #	Location	Sample Type (Soil/Water)	Soil Type (Sand/Clay)	Depth*	Date/Time of Collection	Collected by	OVA #
1346 Cardinal	Excav at fill end	Soil	Sandy	6'	7/13/15 1445 hrs	P. Shaw	
				1			
							-
8							
9		-					
10							
11							
12							
13							1
14		-					
15							
16							
17							
18			1				
19							
20		1					

\* = Depth Below the Surrounding Land Surface

## XI. SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

Provide a detailed description of the methods used to collect <u>and</u> store the samples. Also include the preservative used for each sample. Please use the space provided below.

Sampling was performed in accordance with SC DHEC R.61-92 Part 280 and SC DHEC Assessment Guidelines. Sample containers were prepared by the testing laboratory. The grab method was utilized to fill the sample containers leaving as little head space as possible and immediately capped. Soil samples were extracted from area below tank. The samples were marked, logged, and immediately placed in a sample cooler packed with ice to maintain an approximate temperature of 4 degrees Centigrade. Tools were thoroughly cleaned and decontaminated with the seven step decon process after each use. The samples remained in custody of SBG-EEG, Inc. until they were transferred to Test America Incorporated for analysis as documented in the Chain of Custody Record.

## XII. RECEPTORS

		Yes	No
Α.	Are there any lakes, ponds, streams, or wetlands located within 1000 feet of the UST system? *Stormwater draina	*X Ige ca	nal
	If yes, indicate type of receptor, distance, and direction on site map.	in S	
B.	Are there any public, private, or irrigation water supply wells within 1000 feet of the UST system?		X
	If yes, indicate type of well, distance, and direction on site map.		
C.	Are there any underground structures (e.g., basements) Located within 100 feet of the UST system?		X
	If yes, indicate type of structure, distance, and direction on site map.		
D.	Are there any underground utilities (e.g., telephone, electricity, gas, water, sewer, storm drain) located within 100 feet of the UST system that could potentially come in contact with the contamination? *Sewer, water, electri	*X city	
	cable, fiber optic & g If yes, indicate the type of utility, distance, and direction on the site map.	eothe	rmal
E.	Has contaminated soil been identified at a depth less than 3 feet below land surface in an area that is not capped by asphalt or concrete?		X
1	If yes, indicate the area of contaminated soil on the site map.		

## XIII. SITE MAP

You must supply a <u>scaled</u> site map. It should include all buildings, road names, utilities, tank and dispenser island locations, labeled sample locations, extent of excavation, and any other pertinent information.

(Attach Site Map Here)







Picture 1: Location of UST 1346Cardinal.



Picture 2: UST 1346Cardinal.



Picture 3: Tank excavation.



Picture 4: Site after completion of tank removal.

1346 Cardinal\_Pix.docx

## XIV. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS

Enter the soil analytical data for each soil boring for all COC in the table below and on the following page.

UST UST	1346Cardinal			
001				
Benzene	ND	 		
Toluene	ND			
Ethylbenzene	ND			
Xylenes	ND			
Naphthalene	ND		·	
Benzo (a) anthracene	1.35 mg/kg			
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	0.944 mg/kg			
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	0.427 mg/kg	 		
Chrysene	1.28 mg/kg			
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	ND			
TPH (EPA 3550)				
	·			
CoC				
Benzene				
Toluene				
Ethylbenzene				
Xylenes				
Naphthalene				
Benzo (a) anthracene				
Benzo (b) fluoranthene				
Benzo (k) fluoranthene				
Chrysene				
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene				

TPH (EPA 3550)

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS (cont'd) Enter the ground water analytical data for each sample for all CoC in the table below. If free product is present, indicate the measured thickness to the nearest 0.01 feet.

CoC	RBSL (µg/l)	W-1	W-2	W -3	W -4
Free Product Thickness	None				
Benzene	5				
Toluene	1,000				
Ethylbenzene	700				
Xylenes	10,000				
Total BTEX	N/A				
MTBE	40				
Naphthalene	25				
Benzo (a) anthracene	10				
Benzo (b) flouranthene	10				
Benzo (k) flouranthene	10				
Chrysene	10		1		
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	10				
EDB	.05				
1,2-DCA	5				
Lead	Site specific				

## XV. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

You must submit the laboratory report and chain-of-custody form for the samples. These samples must be analyzed by a South Carolina certified laboratory.

(Attach Certified Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Here) (Please see Form #4)



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

## **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

#### TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica Nashville 2960 Foster Creighton Drive Nashville, TN 37204 Tel: (615)726-0177

## TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

Client Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

#### For:

LINKS

Review your project results through

Total Access

Have a Question?

Ask

The

www.testamericainc.com

Visit us at:

Expert

Small Business Group Inc. 10179 Highway 78 Ladson, South Carolina 29456

Attn: Tom McElwee

Kunth Mage

Authorized for release by: 7/29/2015 1:28:36 PM

Ken Hayes, Project Manager II (615)301-5035 ken.hayes@testamericainc.com

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

2

# **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Sample Summary	3
Case Narrative	4
Definitions	5
Client Sample Results	6
QC Sample Results	12
QC Association	17
Chronicle	18
Method Summary	20
Certification Summary	21
Chain of Custody	22
Receipt Checklists	24

## Sample Summary

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

FestAmerica Job I	D: 490-83204-1
-------------------	----------------

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
490-83204-1	1346 Cardinal	Solid	07/13/15 14:45	07/18/15 09:00
490-83204-2	158 Cypress	Solid	07/14/15 14:15	07/18/15 09:00
490-83204-3	1020 Foxglove	Solid	07/16/15 11:45	07/18/15 09:00

TestAmerica Nashville

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

Job ID: 490-83204-1

#### Laboratory: TestAmerica Nashville

Narrative

Job Narrative 490-83204-1

Comments No additional comments.

#### Receipt

The samples were received on 7/18/2015 9:00 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.6° C.

#### GC/MS VOA

Method(s) 8260B: Insufficient sample volume was available to perform a matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate/sample duplicate (MS/MSD/DUP) associated with analytical batch 490-267949.

Method(s) 8260B: Surrogate recovery for the following sample was outside control limits: 158 Cypress (490-83204-2). Evidence of matrix interference is present; therefore, re-extraction and/or re-analysis was not performed.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### GC/MS Semi VOA

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Organic Prep

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

#### VOA Prep

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## Definitions/Glossary

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

#### TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

#### Qualifiers

#### GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
х	Surrogate is outside control limits
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.
GC/MS Se	mi VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

## Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
n	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains no Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate error ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision level concentration
MDA	Minimum detectable activity
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
MDC	Minimum detectable concentration
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative error ratio
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

TestAmerica Nashville

5

## **Client Sample Results**

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

#### Client Sample ID: 1346 Cardinal Date Collected: 07/13/15 14:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-1 Matrix: Solid

General ChemistryAnalyteResult QualifierRLRLUnitDPreparedAnalyzedDil FacPercent Solids890.100.10 %07/21/15 12:321

TestAmerica Nashville

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

## Client Sample ID: 1346 Cardinal

Date Collected: 07/13/15 14:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

TestAmerica	Job	ID:	490-	-8320	14-1

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-1 Matrix: Solid Percent Solids: 89.4

6

Method: 8260B - Volatile C	Organic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Benzene	ND		0.00214	0.000716	mg/Kg	47	07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.00214	0.000716	mg/Kg	\$	07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.00535	0.00182	mg/Kg	\$	07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Toluene	ND		0.00214	0.000791	mg/Kg	¢	07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.00535	0.00132	mg/Kg	¢	07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	96		70 - 130				07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	96		70 - 130				07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	99		70 - 130				07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	108		70 - 130				07/13/15 14:45	07/25/15 15:19	1
Method: 8270D - Semivola	atile Organic Co	mpounds	(GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0746	0.0111	mg/Kg	4	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0746	0.0100	mg/Kg	¢	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Anthracene	0.227		0.0746	0.0100	mg/Kg	- 40	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	1.35		0.0746	0.0167	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	0.467		0.0746	0.0134	mg/Kg	3	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.944		0.0746	0.0134	mg/Kg	0	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	0.148		0.0746	0.0100	mg/Kg	-17	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.427		0.0746	0.0156	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0746	0.0156	mg/Kg	¢	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Pyrene	2.40		0.0746	0.0134	mg/Kg	4	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Phenanthrene	1.04		0.0746	0.0100	mg/Kg	4	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Chrysene	1.28		0.0746	0.0100	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0746	0.00780	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Fluoranthene	3.51		0.0746	0.0100	mg/Kg	z,	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Fluorene	ND		0.0746	0.0134	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.161		0.0746	0.0111	mg/Kg	4	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.0746	0.0100	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0746	0.0178	mg/Kg	4	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	80		29 - 120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	84		13-120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	76		27 - 120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:10	1

## **Client Sample Results**

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

## Client Sample ID: 158 Cypress

Date Collected: 07/14/15 14:15 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

General Chemistry									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Percent Solids	79		0.10	0.10	%			07/21/15 12:32	1

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

6

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-2 Matrix: Solid

TestAmerica Nashville

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

#### Client Sample ID: 158 Cypress

Date Collected: 07/14/15 14:15 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

Method: 8260B - Volatile O	rganic Compo	unds (GC/	MS)					1.1.1.1	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Benzene	ND		0.00196	0.000656	mg/Kg	÷.	07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
Ethylbenzene	0.0143		0.00196	0.000656	mg/Kg	\$	07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
Naphthalene	0.0243		0.00490	0.00167	mg/Kg	¢	07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
Toluene	0.00180	J	0.00196	0.000725	mg/Kg	Ŷ	07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
Xylenes, Total	0.0235		0.00490	0.00121	mg/Kg	2	07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1.2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	105		70 - 130				07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	291	x	70 - 130				07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	7
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	108		70-130				07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	146	×	70 - 130				07/14/15 14:15	07/25/15 15:49	1
Method: 8270D - Semivola	tile Organic Co	ompounds	(GC/MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0835	0.0125	mg/Kg	¢	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0835	0.0112	mg/Kg	0	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Anthracene	0.293		0.0835	0.0112	mg/Kg	Ŷ	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Benzolalanthracene	ND		0.0835	0.0187	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Benzolalpyrene	ND		0.0835	0.0150	mg/Kg	¢	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		0.0835	0.0150	mg/Kg	7	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Benzola,h,ilpervlene	ND	ès.	0.0835	0.0112	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Benzolklfluoranthene	ND	ÚT.	0.0835	0.0174	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.439	de la companya de la	0.0835	0.0174	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Pyrene	0.182		0.0835	0.0150	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Phenanthrene	1.47		0.0835	0.0112	mg/Kg	3	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Chrysene	ND	)	0.0835	0.0112	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Dibenz(a,b)anthracene	ND	)	0.0835	0.00872	mg/Kg	v	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Fluoranthene	0.101		0.0835	0.0112	mg/Kg	¢	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Fluorene	0.372		0.0835	0.0150	mg/Kg	-2	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Indepol 23 colovrene	NE	)	0.0835	0.0125	ma/Ka	0	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1

1100101,2,0-00 01010	1.2	200000				
Naphthalene	ND	0.0835	0.0112 mg/Kg	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.600	0.0835	0.0199 mg/Kg	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Surrogate	%Recovery Qualifier	Limits		Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	80	29 - 120		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Terphenvl-d14 (Surr)	98	13 - 120		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	84	27 - 120		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:33	1

Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-2 Matrix: Solid Percent Solids: 78.5

6

## **Client Sample Results**

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

## Client Sample ID: 1020 Foxglove

Date Collected: 07/16/15 11:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

General Chemistry									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Percent Solids	92		0.10	0.10	%			07/21/15 12:32	ī

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-3 Matrix: Solid

TestAmerica Nashville

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

## Client Sample ID: 1020 Foxglove

Date Collected: 07/16/15 11:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed
Benzene	ND		0.00223	0.000746	mg/Kg	٩	07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.00223	0.000746	mg/Kg	¢	07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
Naphthalene	ND		0.00557	0.00189	mg/Kg	¢	07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
Toluene	ND		0.00223	0.000824	mg/Kg	Ŷ	07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.00557	0.00137	mg/Kg	¢	07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed
1.2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	91		70-130				07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	89		70-130				07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	91		70-130				07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	118		70-130				07/16/15 11:45	07/25/15 16:33

#### Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0706	0.0105	mg/Kg	a a	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0706	0.00948	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Anthracene	ND		0.0706	0.00948	mg/Kg	Ċ.	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Benzolalanthracene	0.0340	J	0.0706	0.0158	mg/Kg	4	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	0.0142	J	0.0706	0.0126	mg/Kg	÷.	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.0383	J	0.0706	0.0126	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		0.0706	0.00948	mg/Kg	- 25	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		0.0706	0.0148	mg/Kg	€.	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0706	0.0148	mg/Kg	3	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Pyrene	0.0615	J	0.0706	0.0126	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0706	0.00948	mg/Kg	~r	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Chrysene	0.0357	J	0.0706	0.00948	mg/Kg	¢	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0706	0.00738	mg/Kg	$\widehat{\sigma}_{\overline{g}}^{2}$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Fluoranthene	0.0425	J	0.0706	0.00948	mg/Kg	¢.	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Fluorene	ND		0.0706	0.0126	mg/Kg	. 2	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		0.0706	0.0105	mg/Kg	\$	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.0706	0.00948	mg/Kg	か	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0706	0.0169	mg/Kg	¢.	07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	81		29 - 120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	88		13-120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	84		27 - 120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 20:57	1

Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-3 Matrix: Solid Percent Solids: 92.2

Dil Fac

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Dil Fac

8

#### Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

#### Lab Sample ID: MB 490-267949/7 Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 267949

#### Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

D %Rec

91

100

97

99

98

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

%Rec. Limits

75 - 127

80 - 134

69 - 150

80 - 132

80 - 137

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

ed Analyzed Dil Fac
07/25/15 14:44 1
07/25/15 14:44 1
07/25/15 14:44 1
07/25/15 14:44 1
07/25/15 14:44 1
ed Analyzed Dil Fac
07/25/15 14:44 1
07/25/15 14:44 1
07/25/15 14:44 1
07/25/15 14:44 1
1

LCS LCS

Result Qualifier Unit

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

#### Lab Sample ID: LCS 490-267949/3 Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 267949

			Spike	LUS
Analyte			Added	Result
Benzene			0.0500	0.04565
Ethylbenzene			0.0500	0.05000
Naphthalene			0.0500	0.04852
Toluene			0.0500	0.04952
Xylenes, Total			0.100	0.09817
	LCS	LCS		
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	
1.2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	96		70 - 130	

1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	96	70 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	92	70 - 130
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	101	70 - 130
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	106	70 - 130

#### Lab Sample ID: LCSD 490-267949/4 Matrix: Solid

Analysis Batch: 267949											
Construction of the second second			Spike	LCSD	LCSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Benzene			0.0500	0.04558		mg/Kg		91	75 - 127	0	50
Ethylbenzene			0.0500	0.05054		mg/Kg		101	80 - 134	1	50
Naphthalene			0.0500	0.05016		mg/Kg		100	69 - 150	3	50
Toluene			0.0500	0.04963		mg/Kg		99	80 - 132	0	50
Xylenes, Total			0.100	0.09787		mg/Kg		98	80 - 137	0	50
	LCSD	LCSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	92		70-130								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	93		70 - 130								
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	102		70 - 130								
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	106		70 - 130								

TestAmerica Nashville

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

## Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

#### Lab Sample ID: MB 490-266619/1-A Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 267018

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

#### Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 266619

	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0670	0.0100	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Anthracene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		0.0670	0.0150	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		0.0670	0.0140	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0670	0.0140	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Pyrene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Chrysene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0670	0.00700	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Fluorene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		0.0670	0.0100	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0670	0.0160	mg/Kg		07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
	MB	MB							
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	71		29 - 120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	84		13 - 120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	1
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	69		27 - 120				07/21/15 10:32	07/22/15 15:48	7

#### Lab Sample ID: LCS 490-266619/2-A Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 267018

#### Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 266619

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Acenaphthylene	1.67	1.281		mg/Kg		77	38 - 120	
Anthracene	1.67	1.432		mg/Kg		86	46 - 124	
Benzo[a]anthracene	1.67	1.443		mg/Kg		87	45 - 120	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1.67	1.453		mg/Kg		87	45 - 120	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1.67	1.489		mg/Kg		89	42 - 120	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1.67	1.624		mg/Kg		97	38 - 120	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1.67	1.392		mg/Kg		84	42 - 120	
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.67	1.329		mg/Kg		80	32 - 120	
Pyrene	1.67	1.392		mg/Kg		84	43 - 120	
Phenanthrene	1.67	1.358		mg/Kg		81	45 - 120	
Chrysene	1.67	1.413		mg/Kg		85	43 - 120	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1.67	1.602		mg/Kg		96	32 - 128	
Fluoranthene	1.67	1.476		mg/Kg		89	46 - 120	
Fluorene	1.67	1.395		mg/Kg		84	42 - 120	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1.67	1.571		mg/Kg		94	41 - 121	
Naphthalene	1.67	1.161		mg/Kg		70	32 - 120	
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.67	1.223		mg/Kg		73	28 - 120	

TestAmerica Nashville

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

Chrysene

#### Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 490 Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 267018	-266619/2-A					Clier	nt Sai	mple ID	: Lab Cor Prep Ty Prep Ba	ntrol Sa be: Tot	al/NA
	105	105							00000		
Surrogate	%Perovery	Qualifiar	Limite								
2-Eluorobiohenvl (Surr)	78	Quanner	20 120								
Tembenyl-d14 (Surr)	80		12 120								
Nitrobanzona d5 (Surr)	70		27 120								
Willobenzene-us (Sun)	19		27 - 120								
Lab Sample ID: LCSD 49	0-266619/3-A	L.			C	lient Sa	mple	ID: Lab	Control	Sample	e Dup
Matrix: Solid									Prep Ty	pe: Tot	al/NA
Analysis Batch: 26/018			Chailes	1000	LCOD				Prep Ba	atch: 26	6619
Analista			Spike	LUSD	LUSD	11		0/15	%Rec.		RPD
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Acenaphthylene			1.67	1.367		mg/Kg		82	38 - 120	6	50
Anthracene			1.67	1.532		mg/Kg		92	46 - 124	7	49
Benzolajantnracene			1.67	1.530		mg/Kg		92	45 - 120	6	50
Benzo[a]pyrene			1.67	1.599		mg/Kg		96	45 - 120	10	50
Benzo[b]fluoranthene			1.67	1.668		mg/Kg		100	42 - 120	11	50
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene			1.67	1.495		mg/Kg		90	38 - 120	8	50
Benzo[k]fluoranthene			1.67	1.584		mg/Kg		95	42 - 120	13	45
1-Methylnaphthalene			1.67	1.504		mg/Kg		90	32 - 120	12	50
Pyrene			1.67	1.442		mg/Kg		87	43 - 120	4	50
Phenanthrene			1.67	1.440		mg/Kg		86	45-120	6	50
Chrysene			1.67	1.403		mg/Kg		84	43 - 120	1	49
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			1.67	1.644		mg/Kg		99	32 - 128	3	50
Fluoranthene			1.67	1.470		mg/Kg		88	46 - 120	0	50
Fluorene			1.67	1.447		mg/Kg		87	42 - 120	4	50
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene			1.67	1.587		mg/Kg		95	41-121	1	50
Naphthalene			1.67	1.333		mg/Kg		80	32 - 120	14	50
2-Methylnaphthalene			1.67	1.414		mg/Kg		85	28 - 120	14	50
	1000	1000									
	LCSD	LUSD	10.00								
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	82		29 - 120								
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	89		13 - 120								
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	91		27 - 120								
Lab Sample ID: 490-8309 Matrix: Solid	3-G-1-B MS						C	lient Sa	mple ID: I	Matrix :	Spike
Analysis Batch: 267018									Prep Ty	Je. TOL	alina
Analysis Daten. 201010	Sample	Sample	Snike	MS	MS				Prep Ba	atch: 20	00019
Analyte	Posult	Qualifier	Addad	Pocult	Qualifier	Unit	D	0/ 1200	Junelée		
Acenaphthylene	ND	quanner	1 73	1 167	Quanner	malka	0	67	25 120		
Anthracene	ND		1.73	1.107		mg/Kg	- A	74	20-120		
Renzelelenthresene	ND		1.73	1.207		mg/rg	*	74	28 - 125		
Penzolajantinacerie	ND		1.73	1.202		mg/Kg	*	73	23 - 120		
	ND		1./3	1.294		mg/Kg	4	75	15 - 128		
	ND		1.73	1.323		mg/Kg	Ŷ	76	12 - 133		
Benzolg,n,Ijperviene	ND		1.73	1.382		mg/Kg	¢	80	22 - 120		
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		1.73	1.225		mg/Kg	¢	71	28 - 120		
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		1.73	1.224		mg/Kg	¢	71	10 - 120		
Pyrene	ND		1.73	1.224		mg/Kg	\$	71	20 - 123		
Phenanthrene	ND		1.73	1.209		mg/Kg	\$	70	21 - 122		

TestAmerica Nashville

\$

71

20 - 120

mg/Kg

1.73

ND

1.237

2.000

7

A 14

## Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

85

80

Lab Sample ID: 490-83093	3-G-1-B MS						C	ient Sa	mple ID: Matrix Spike
Matrix: Solid									Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 267018									Prep Batch: 266619
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		1.73	1.391		mg/Kg	0	80	12 - 128
Fluoranthene	ND		1.73	1.346		mg/Kg	¢	78	10 - 143
Fluorene	ND		1.73	1.241		mg/Kg	¢	72	20 - 120
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		1.73	1.362		mg/Kg	- 3	79	22 - 121
Naphthalene	ND		1.73	1.091		mg/Kg	\$	63	10 - 120
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		1.73	1.127		mg/Kg	1	65	13 - 120
	MS	MS							
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits						
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	73		29 - 120						
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	81		13 - 120						
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	70		27 - 120						

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83093-G-1-C MSD Matrix: Solid

Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)

Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)

Client	Sample	ID:	Matrix	Spike	Duplicate
			Prep	Туре	: Total/NA
			Dror	a Rate	h: 266610

Analysis Batch: 267018									Ргер Ба	itch: 20	10019
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Acenaphthylene	NĎ		1.75	1.343		mg/Kg	Ŷ	77	25 - 120	14	50
Anthracene	ND		1.75	1.424		mg/Kg	\$	81	28 - 125	10	49
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		1.75	1.429		mg/Kg	2	82	23 - 120	12	50
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		1.75	1.446		mg/Kg	\$	83	15 - 128	11	50
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		1.75	1.376		mg/Kg	Ó	79	12-133	4	50
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		1.75	1.529		mg/Kg	¢	87	22 - 120	10	50
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		1.75	1.453		mg/Kg	ф	83	28 - 120	17	45
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		1.75	1.426		mg/Kg	李	82	10 - 120	15	50
Pyrene	ND		1.75	1.387		mg/Kg	10	79	20 - 123	12	50
Phenanthrene	ND		1.75	1.350		mg/Kg	4	77	21 - 122	11	50
Chrysene	ND		1.75	1.362		mg/Kg	\$	78	20 - 120	10	49
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		1.75	1.538		mg/Kg	4	88	12 - 128	10	50
Fluoranthene	ND		1.75	1.515		mg/Kg	¢	87	10 - 143	12	50
Fluorene	ND		1.75	1.418		mg/Kg	1	81	20 - 120	13	50
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		1.75	1.500		mg/Kg	0	86	22 - 121	10	50
Naphthalene	ND		1.75	1.268		mg/Kg	\$	73	10 - 120	15	50
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		1.75	1.307		mg/Kg	¢	75	13 - 120	15	50
	MSD	MSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	79		29 - 120								

13-120

27 - 120

#### Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

#### TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

Client Sample ID: 1346 Cardinal

#### Method: Moisture - Percent Moisture

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-1 DU Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 266688

Analyte Percent Solids Sample Sample Result Qualifier

.

Prep Type: Total/NA
RPD

DU	DU				RPD
Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	Limit
89		%		0.1	20

TestAmerica Nashville

## **QC** Association Summary

#### Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

8

#### GC/MS VOA

#### Prep Batch: 266691

490-83204-2       158 Cy         490-83204-3       1020 F         Analysis Batch: 267949          Lab Sample ID       Client         490-83204-1       1346 C         490-83204-2       158 Cy         490-83204-3       1020 F         LOS 490-267949/3       Lab C	press oxglove Sample ID Cardinal press oxglove ontrol Sample	Total/NA Total/NA Prep Type Total/NA Total/NA	Solid Solid Matrix Solid	5035 5035 Method 8260B	Pren Batch
490-83204-3         1020 F           Analysis Batch:         267949           Lab Sample ID         Client           490-83204-1         1346 C           490-83204-2         158 Cy           490-83204-3         1020 F           LCS 490-267949/3         Lab C	oxglove Sample ID Cardinal /press Toxglove ontrol Sample	Total/NA Prep Type Total/NA Total/NA	Solid Matrix Solid	5035 Method 8260B	Pren Batch
Lab Sample ID         Client           490-83204-1         1346 C           490-83204-2         158 Cy           490-83204-3         1020 F           LCS 490-267949/3         Lab Cy	Sample ID Cardinal /press foxglove ontrol Sample	Prep Type Total/NA Total/NA	Matrix Solid	Method 8260B	Pren Batch
Lab Sample ID         Client           490-83204-1         1346 C           490-83204-2         158 Cy           490-83204-3         1020 F           LCS 490-267949/3         Lab Co	Sample ID Cardinal /press foxglove ontrol Sample	Prep Type Total/NA Total/NA	Matrix Solid	Method 8260B	Pren Batch
490-83204-1         1346 C           490-83204-2         158 Cy           490-83204-3         1020 F           L CS 490-267949/3         Lab Co	Cardinal /press foxglove ontrol Sample	Total/NA Total/NA	Solid	8260B	Trop Baton
490-83204-2         158 Cy           490-83204-3         1020 F           L CS 490-267949/3         Lab Co	/press oxglove ontrol Sample	Total/NA	0 11 1		266691
490-83204-3 1020 F	oxglove ontrol Sample	Tetel/MIA	Solid	8260B	266691
LCS 490-267949/3 Lab Co	ontrol Sample	TOLAI/INA	Solid	8260B	266691
200 100 2010 1010		Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCSD 490-267949/4 Lab Co	ontrol Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
MB 490-267949/7 Method	d Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
GC/MS Semi VOA					
Prep Batch: 266619					
Lab Sample ID Client	Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
490-83093-G-1-B MS Matrix	Spike	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
490-83093-G-1-C MSD Matrix	Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
490-83204-1 1346 0	Cardinal	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
490-83204-2 158 Cy	press	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
490-83204-3 1020 F	oxglove	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
LCS 490-266619/2-A Lab Co	ontrol Sample	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
LCSD 490-266619/3-A Lab Co	ontrol Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
MB 490-266619/1-A Metho	d Blank	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
Analysis Batch: 267018					
Lab Sample ID Client	Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
490-83093-G-1-B MS Matrix	Spike	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
490-83093-G-1-C MSD Matrix	Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
490-83204-1 1346 0	Cardinal	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
490-83204-2 158 C	ypress	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
490-83204-3 1020 F	oxglove	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
LCS 490-266619/2-A Lab Co	ontrol Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
LCSD 490-266619/3-A Lab C	ontrol Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
MB 490-266619/1-A Metho	d Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	266619
General Chemistry					
Analysis Batch: 266688					
Lab Sample ID Client	Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
490-83204-1 1346 0	Cardinal	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
490-83204-1 DU 1346 0	Cardinal	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
490-83204-2 158 C	ypress	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
490-83204-3 1020 1	Foxalove	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

## Lab Chronicle

#### Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

## Client Sample ID: 1346 Cardinal

Date Collected: 07/13/15 14:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	266688	07/21/15 12:32	MAA	TAL NSH

## Client Sample ID: 1346 Cardinal Date Collected: 07/13/15 14:45

Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			5.231 g	5.0 mL	266691	07/13/15 14:45	JLP	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	5.231 g	5.0 mL	267949	07/25/15 15:19	JPH	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			30.13 g	1 mL	266619	07/21/15 10:32	LDC	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		1	30.13 g	1 mL	267018	07/22/15 20:10	SNR	TAL NSH

#### Client Sample ID: 158 Cypress

Date Collected: 07/14/15 14:15 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			266688	07/21/15 12:32	MAA	TAL NSH

#### Client Sample ID: 158 Cypress Date Collected: 07/14/15 14:15 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			6.501 g	5.0 mL	266691	07/14/15 14:15	JLP	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	6.501 g	5.0 mL	267949	07/25/15 15:49	JPH	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			30.66 g	1 mL	266619	07/21/15 10:32	LDC	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		1	30.66 g	1 mL	267018	07/22/15 20:33	SNR	TAL NSH

## Client Sample ID: 1020 Foxglove

Date Collected: 07/16/15 11:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			266688	07/21/15 12:32	MAA	TAL NSH

#### Client Sample ID: 1020 Foxglove

Date Collected: 07/16/15 11:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			4.867 g	5.0 mL	266691	07/16/15 11:45	JLP	TAL NSH

TestAmerica Nashville

Percent Solids: 92.2

## TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-1

Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-1

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

9

Percent Solids: 89.4

/21/15 10:32	LDC	TAL NSH	
/22/15 20:10	SNR	TAL NSH	

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-2

Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-2

Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-3

Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-3

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 78.5

#### Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

## Client Sample ID: 1020 Foxglove

Date Collected: 07/16/15 11:45 Date Received: 07/18/15 09:00 TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

#### Lab Sample ID: 490-83204-3 Matrix: Solid Percent Solids: 92.2

9

Batch Type Analysis	Batch Method 8260B	Run	Dil Factor 1	Initial Amount 4.867 g	Final Amount 5.0 mL	Batch Number 267949	Prepared or Analyzed 07/25/15 16:33	Analyst JPH	Lab TAL NSH
Prep	3550C			30.87 g	1 mL	266619	07/21/15 10:32	LDC	TAL NSH
Analysis	8270D		1	30.87 g	1 mL	267018	07/22/15 20:57	SNR	TAL NSH
	Batch Type Analysis Prep Analysis	BatchBatchTypeMethodAnalysis8260BPrep3550CAnalysis8270D	Batch Batch Type Method Run Analysis 8260B Prep 3550C Analysis 8270D	BatchDilTypeMethodRunFactorAnalysis8260B1Prep3550C1Analysis8270D1	BatchDilInitialTypeMethodRunFactorAmountAnalysis8260B14.867 gPrep3550C30.87 gAnalysis8270D130.87 g	BatchDìlInitialFinalTypeMethodRunFactorAmountAmountAnalysis8260B14.867 g5.0 mLPrep3550C30.87 g1 mLAnalysis8270D130.87 g1 mL	BatchDilInitialFinalBatchTypeMethodRunFactorAmountAmountNumberAnalysis8260B14.867 g5.0 mL267949Prep3550C30.87 g1 mL266619Analysis8270D130.87 g1 mL267018	BatchDilInitialFinalBatchPreparedTypeMethodRunFactorAmountAmountNumberor AnalyzedAnalysis8260B14.867 g5.0 mL26794907/25/15 16:33Prep3550C30.87 g1 mL26661907/21/15 10:32Analysis8270D130.87 g1 mL26701807/22/15 20:57	BatchDilInitialFinalBatchPreparedTypeMethodRunFactorAmountAmountNumberor AnalyzedAnalystAnalysis8260B14.867 g5.0 mL26794907/25/15 16:33JPHPrep3550C30.87 g1 mL26661907/21/15 10:32LDCAnalysis8270D130.87 g1 mL26701807/22/15 20:57SNR

Laboratory References:

TAL NSH = TestAmerica Nashville, 2960 Foster Creighton Drive, Nashville, TN 37204, TEL (615)726-0177

TestAmerica Nashville

## Method Summary

#### Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

#### TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL NSH
8270D	Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL NSH
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	TAL NSH

#### Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### Laboratory References:

TAL NSH = TestAmerica Nashville, 2960 Foster Creighton Drive, Nashville, TN 37204, TEL (615)726-0177

## **Certification Summary**

#### Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

Laboratory: TestAmerica Nashville Unless otherwise noted, all analytes for this laboratory were covered under each certification holow

Authority	Program		EPA Region	Certification ID	Expiration Date
South Carolina	State Pro	gram	4	84009 (001)	02-28-16
The following analyte:	s are included in this repo	rt, but certification is	s not offered by the g	overning authority:	
Analysis Method	Prep Method	Matrix Solid	Analyt 1-Met	te hvinaphthalene	
Moisture	00000	Solid	Perce	nt Solids	

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-83204-1

(F)

TestAmerica Nashville

THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING	COOLED DECEIDE FORM	
Nashville, TN	COOLER RECEIPT FORM	
Cooler Received/Opened On 7/18/201	15 @ 0900	490-83204 Chain of Custody
1. Tracking # 3775	(last 4 digits, FedEx)	
Courier: <u>Fed-ex</u> IR Gun ID_17	960357	
2. Temperature of rep. sample or ten	np blank when opened: _/,b_Degrees Celsius	5
3. If Item #2 temperature is 0°C or les	s, was the representative sample or temp blan	k frozen? YES NO.(.NA)
4. Were custody seals on outside of a	cooler?	YES NONA
If yes, how many and where:	If ant /113 ac	t
5. Were the seals intact, signed, and	dated correctly?	YES.NONA
5. Were custody papers inside cooler	13	(YES.).NONA
certify that I opened the cooler and a	answered questions 1-6 (intial)	- Fr
7. Were custody seals on containers:	YES NO and Inta	t YESNONA
Were these signed and dated corre	ectly?	YES NO ( NA)
3. Packing mat'l used Bubblewrap	Plastic bag Peanuts Vermiculite Foam Inst	ert Paper Other None
). Cooling process:	(Ice Ice-pack Ice (direct contact)	Dry ice Other None
0. Did all containers arrive in good o	condition (unbroken)?	YES.NONA
1. Were all container labels complete	e (#, date, signed, pres., etc)?	YES NO NA
2. Did all container labels and tags a	agree with custody papers?	YES NO NA
3a. Were VOA vials received?		YES NO NA
b. Was there any observable heads	space present in any VOA vial?	YES NO. (.NA)
4. Was there a Trip Blank in this coo	oler? YESNONA If multiple coolers	s, sequence #
certify that I unloaded the cooler and	answered questions 7-14 (intial)	5
5a. On pres'd bottles, did pH test str	ips suggest preservation reached the correct	pH level? YESNONA
b. Did the bottle labels indicate that	at the correct preservatives were used	YES NO NA
6. Was residual chlorine present?		YES NO NA
certify that I checked for chlorine and	d pH as per SOP and answered questions 15-1	6 (intial)
7. Were custody papers properly fille	ed out (ink, signed, etc)?	YES.NONA
8. Did you sign the custody papers i	in the appropriate place?	YES. NONA
9. Were correct containers used for	the analysis requested?	(YES).NONA
0. Was sufficient amount of sample s	sent in each container?	YES.NONA
certify that I entered this project into	LIMS and answered questions 17-20 (intial)	

BIS = Broken in shipment Cooler Receipt Form.doc 12

-----



+1

12

7/29/2015

Client: Small Business Group Inc.

#### Job Number: 490-83204-1

13

List Source: TestAmerica Nashville

Login Number: 83204 List Number: 1 Creator: Gambill Share

Greator: Gambili, Shane		
Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a<br survey meter.	True	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	1.6
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time.	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	N/A	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	N/A	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

ATTACHMENT A

NON-HAZARDOUS MANIFEST	1. Generator's US E	PA ID No. N	anifest Doc	: No.	2. Page <u>1</u>	of			
3. Generator's Mailing Address: MCAS BEAUFORT LAUREL BAY HOUSING	Ge	enerator's Site Address (If	different than r	mailing):	A. Manife W	st Number MNA	01519	122	
BEAUFORT, SC 29904 4. Generator's Phone 843-	879-0411				_	B. State	Generator's	ID	
5. Transporter 1 Company Name		6. US EPA I	D Number					-	
					C. State T	ransporter's l	D		
7. Transporter 2 Company Name		8. US EPA I	D Number		D. Transp	orter's Phone		-	
					E. State Tr	ansporter's li	D		
					F. Transpo	orter's Phone		-	
9. Designated Facility Name and Sin HICKORY HILL LANDFILL	e Address	10. US EPA	ID Number		G State F	neilitu ID			
2621 LOW COUNTRY DRIVE					H State F	acility Phone	8/3-0	87.464	2
RIDGELAND, SC 29936					In. State I	acinty Phone	040-5	07-404.	,
11. Description of Waste Materials			12.0	ontainers	13. Total	14. Unit	L.M	isc. Commen	ts
a. HEATING OIL TANK FILLED	WITH SAND		1	Type	Quantity	WL./VOI.			
			1	Que -			14		
WM Pro	ofile # 102655SC						1		
D.							1		
WMA Profile #									_
C.				-					
WM Profile #									
d.				4					
WM Profile	1						1	1-0	
J. Additional Descriptions for Mate	erials Listed Above		K. Dispo	sal Location					
			Cell				Level	1.2.2	
15. Special Handling Instructions an	d Additional Informatic		Grid	13466	and in.	ATG	)1065	Gar	di di
DILL BANNA	N 3 11	39 Ires	5)1	020	tous /	U.B.			
Purchase Order #		EMERGENCY CC	NTACT / PH	HONE NO .:					
16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATE: I hereby certify that the above-desci	ibed materials are not	hazardous wastes as defir	ned by 40 C	CFR Part 261	or any applic	able state lav	v, have beer	n fully and	1
Printed Name	packaged and are in pro	oper condition for transpo Signature "On beha	rtation acc	ording to ap	plicable regu	lations.	Month	Dav	V-
	Be to be the			144			Moner		1
17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgemer	t of Receipt of Materia	ls		_					
Printed Name		Signature					Month	Day	Ye
18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgemer	t of Receipt of Materia	ls							_
Printed Name		Signature					Month	Day	Ye
19. Certificate of Final Treatment/D	isposal								_
I certify, on behalf of the above liste applicable laws, regulations, permits	d treatment facility, the and licenses on the da	at to the best of my know Ites listed above.	edge, the a	ibove-descri	bed waste w	as managed i	n compliand	e with all	
20. Facility Owner or Operator: Cer	tification of receipt of r	non-hazardous materials o	overed by	this manifes	t.				
Printed Name		Signature	1				Month	Day	Ye
MILLA TREATORNE AND AND	0011	11	a decent					I E	
WINE- TREATWENT, STORAGE, DISH	OSAL FACILITY COPY	BIUE- GENERATOR	#2 COPY		Ye	llow- GENERA	ATOR #1 CO	ργ	

Appendix C Laboratory Analytical Report - Groundwater



## Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Client: AECOM - Resoluti Description: BEALB1346TW01 Date Sampled:03/09/2017 1715 Date Received:03/11/2017	on Consultants NG20170309						Laboratory ID: Matrix:	SC11009 Aqueous	-012		
RunPrep Method15030B	Analytical Method 8260B	Dilution 1	Analys 03/15/20	is Date Analyst 017 1552 PMV	Prep	Date	Batch 37143				
			CAS	Analytical							
Parameter		Nun	nber	Method	Result	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL	Units	Run
Benzene		71-	43-2	8260B	0.80	U	1.0	0.80	0.40	ug/L	1
Ethylbenzene		100-4	41-4	8260B	0.80	U	1.0	0.80	0.40	ug/L	1
Naphthalene		91-	20-3	8260B	0.80	U	1.0	0.80	0.40	ug/L	1
Toluene		108-8	38-3	8260B	0.80	U	1.0	0.80	0.40	ug/L	1
Xylenes (total)		1330-	20-7	8260B	0.80	U	1.0	0.80	0.40	ug/L	1
Surrogate	Q % F	Run 1 Recovery	Acceptai Limi	nce ts							
Bromofluorobenzene		107	85-11	4							
Dibromofluoromethane		92	80-11	9							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4		105	81-11	8							
Toluene-d8		92	89-11	2							

PQL = Practical quantitation limitB = Detected in the method blankE = Quantitation of compound exceeded the calibration rangeH = Out of holding timeQ = Surrogate failureND = Not detected at or above the MDLJ = Estimated result < PQL and  $\geq$  MDLP = The RPD between two GC columns exceeds 40%N = Recovery is out of criteriaL = LCS/LCSD failureWhere applicable, all soil sample analysis = reported on a dry weight basis unless flaggewith a "W"S = MS/MSD failure

Client: AECOM - Resolution Consultants

Description: BEALB1346TW01WG20170309

Date Sampled:03/09/2017 1715

Laboratory ID: SC11009-012 Matrix: Aqueous

Date Received: 03/11/2017

Run Prep Method 1 3520C	Analytical Method 8270D	Dilution Ana 1 03/1	alysis Date Analyst 8/2017 0056 RBH	Prep 03/15/2	Date B 2017 1020 3	atch 7108				
		CAS	Analytical							_
Parameter		Number	Method	Result	Q	LOQ	LOD	DL	Units	Run
Benzo(a)anthracene		56-55-3	8270D	0.10	U	0.20	0.10	0.040	ug/L	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		205-99-2	8270D	0.10	U	0.20	0.10	0.040	ug/L	1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		207-08-9	8270D	0.10	U	0.20	0.10	0.040	ug/L	1
Chrysene		218-01-9	8270D	0.10	U	0.20	0.10	0.040	ug/L	1
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		53-70-3	8270D	0.10	U	0.20	0.10	0.040	ug/L	1
Surrogate	I Q % R	Run 1 Acce ecovery L	ptance _imits							
Nitrobenzene-d5		56 44	1-120							
2-Fluorobiphenyl		52 44	I-119							
Terphenyl-d14		72 50	)-134							

PQL = Practical quantitation limitB = Detected in the method blankE = Quantitation of compound exceeded the calibration rangeH = Out of holding timeQ = Surrogate failureND = Not detected at or above the MDLJ = Estimated result < PQL and  $\geq$  MDLP = The RPD between two GC columns exceeds 40%N = Recovery is out of criteriaL = LCS/LCSD failureWhere applicable, all soil sample analysis ar reported on a dry weight basis unless flagged with a "W"S = MS/MSD failure

Appendix D Regulatory Correspondence





August 1, 2016

Commanding Officer Attention: NREAO Mr. William A. Drawdy United State Marine Corps Air Station Post Office Box 55001 Beaufort, SC 29904-5001

RE: IGWA Laurel Bay Underground Tank Assessment Reports Dated July 2015, November 2015, March 2016

Dear Mr. Drawdy,

The South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (the Department) received the Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) Assessment Reports for the addresses listed in the attachment. The regulatory authority for the investigation and cleanup of releases from these tank systems is the South Carolina Pollution Control Act (S.C. Code Ann. §48-1-10 <u>et seq</u>., as amended).

The Department has reviewed the referenced reports. The submitted analytical results indicate that petroleum constituents are above established Risk-Based Screening Levels and additional investigation is warranted. Specifically, the Department requests that a groundwater sampling proposal be generated to determine if there has been an impact to groundwater at these sites.

Please note that the Department's decision is based on information provided by the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) to date. Any information found to be contradictory to this decision may require additional action. Furthermore, the Department retains the right to request further investigation if deemed necessary.

If you have any questions, please contact me at petruslb@dhec.sc.gov or 803-898-0294.

Sincerely,

Allt

Laurel Petrus, Environmental Engineer Associate Bureau of Land and Waste Management

Cc: Russell Berry, EQC Region 8 (via email) Shawn Dolan, Resolution Consultants (via email) Bryan Beck, NAVFAC MIDATLANTIC (via email) Craig Ehde (via email)

#### Attachment to: Petrus to Drawdy, August 1, 2016 Subject: IGWA, Laurel Bay Underground Tank Assessment Reports Dated July 2015, November 2015, March 2016

#### Draft Final Initial Groundwater Investigation Report for (7 addresses/8 tanks)

465 Dogwood Tank 2	254 Beech Tank 2
1352 Cardinal Tank 2*	641 Dahlia Tank 2
121 Banyan	1346 Cardinal
254 Beech Tank 1	1177 Bobwhite

permanent wells and groundwater monitoring was approved 2/22/16



July 27, 2017

Commanding Officer Attention: NREAO Mr. William A. Drawdy United State Marine Corps Air Station Post Office Box 55001 Beaufort, SC 29904-5001

RE: Draft Final Initial Groundwater Investigation Report, February and March 2017

Dear Mr. Drawdy:

The South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) received groundwater data from temporary monitoring well installations in the Draft Final Groundwater Investigation Report, Laurel Bay Military Housing Area for the fifty two (52) addresses shown in the attachment. The regulatory authority for the investigation and cleanup of releases from these tank systems is the South Carolina Pollution Control Act (S.C. Code Ann. §48-1-10 et seq., as amended).

Per DHEC's request, groundwater samples were collected from the attached referenced addresses. DHEC reviewed the groundwater data and previous investigations and it agrees with the conclusions and recommendations included in the document. To further assess the impact to groundwater, permanent groundwater monitoring wells should be installed at the three (3) stated addresses. For the remaining forty nine (49) addresses, there is no indication of contamination on the property and therefore no further investigation is required at this time.

Please note that DHEC's decision is based on information provided by the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) to date. Any information found to be contradictory to this decision may require additional action. Furthermore, DHEC retains the right to request further investigation if deemed necessary.

If you have any questions, please contact me at petruslb@dhec.sc.gov or 803-898-0294.

Sincerely,

Lalpt

Laurel Petrus, Environmental Engineer Associate Bureau of Land and Waste Management

Cc: Russell Berry, EQC Region 8 Shawn Dolan, Resolution Consultants Bryan Beck, NAVFAC MIDLANT Attachment to: Petrus to Drawdy

Draft Final Initial Groundwater Investigation Report for (52 addresses)

Permanent Well Installation recommedation (3 Addresses):

- 254 Beech Street (110 ug/L)
- o 268 Beech Street (28 ug/L)
- o 774 Althea Street (35 ug/L)

No Further Action recommendation (49 addresses):

113 Birch Drive 0 121 Banyan Drive 0 122 Banyan Drive 0 **159 Cypress Street** 0 221 Cypress Street 0 274 Birch Drive 0 279 Birch Drive 0 283 Birch Drive 0 328 Ash Street 0 346 Ash Street 0 359 Aspen Street 0 370 Aspen Street 0 377 Aspen Street 0 409 Elderberry Drive 0 465 Dogwood Drive 0 480 Laurel Bay Boulevard 0 486 Laurel Bay Boulevard 0 515 Laurel Bay Boulevard 0 542 Laurel Bay Boulevard 0 593 Aster Street 0 630 Dahlia Drive 0 641 Dahlia Drive 0 693 Camelia Drive 0 723 Bluebell Lane 0 860 Dolphin Street 0 873 Cobia Drive 0 883 Cobia Drive 0 905 Barracuda Drive 0 921 Barracuda Drive 0 935 Albacore Street 0 946 Albacore Street 0 1037 Iris Lane 0 1039 Iris Lane 0 1110 Iris Lane 0 1134 Iris Lane 0 1143 Iris Lane 0 1177 Bobwhite Drive 0 1202 Cardinal Lane 0 0 1212 Cardinal Lane 0 1222 Cardinal Lane 1224 Cardinal Lane 0 1226 Dove Lane 0 1236 Dove Lane 0 1245 Dove Lane 0 1247 Dove Lane 0 0 1274 Albatross Drive 1319 Albatross Drive 0 1337 Albatross Drive 0 1346 Cardinal Lane 0